

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-8 (Cancelled)

9. **(Previously Presented)** An apparatus comprising:
- at least a first application;
 - an authentication component configured to authenticate a communicating device;
 - an access control component accessible by a communicating device requesting access to the first application without the communicating device having been authenticated by the authentication component, and arranged to arbitrate whether access of the communicating device to the first application is granted or refused wherein if the arbitration requires an authentication of the communicating device, the access control component configured to instruct the authentication component to authenticate the communicating device, wherein the access control component is configured to receive indications originating from the communicating device identifying the communicating device and the application requested.

Claims 10-26 (Cancelled)

27. **(Previously Presented)** An apparatus comprising:
- at least first and second applications;
 - an authentication component configured to authenticate a communicating device;
 - a first access control component accessible by a communicating device requesting access to the first application without the communicating device having been authenticated by the authentication component, and arranged to arbitrate whether access of the communicating device to the first application is granted or refused wherein if the arbitration requires an authentication of the communicating device, the access control component configured to instruct the authentication component to authenticate the communicating device;
 - a second access control component accessible by a communicating device requesting access to the second application without the communicating device having

been authenticated by the authentication component, and arranged to arbitrate whether access of the communicating device to the second application is granted or refused wherein if the arbitration requires an authentication of the communicating device, the second access control component configured to instruct the authentication component to authenticate the communicating device, wherein the first access control component is accessible by a communicating device requesting access to the second application without the communicating device having been authenticated by the authentication component, and is arranged to provide the access of the communicating device to the second access control component.

Claim 28 (Cancelled)

29. **(Previously Presented)** A method comprising:

 sending a request to access a service from a requesting device to a providing device;

 receiving the request at the providing device and passing it, without authenticating the requesting device, to an arbitration component interfacing the service;

 determining, in the arbitration component, whether to grant or refuse access to the first application by the requesting device, wherein if the determination requires an authentication of the requesting device, the authentication is performed during that determination and not previously, wherein the determination is made on the basis of the identity of service requested and/or the identity of the requesting device.

Claims 30-32 (Cancelled)

33. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus as claimed in claim 9 wherein the access control component is arranged to store security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether authentication of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration.

34. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus as claimed in claim 33 wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether authentication of

the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration, in independence of the identity of the communicating device.

35. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus as claimed in claim 9 further comprising a user interface configured to authorize access to an application during arbitration, the access control component being arranged to store security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration.

36. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus as claimed in claim 35 wherein the access control component is further arranged to store trust indications in association with devices, and wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration in dependence upon any stored trust indication associated with the communicating device.

37. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus as claimed in claim 9 wherein authentication comprises secret key exchange between the device and the communicating device.

38. **(Currently Amended)** The A method as claimed in claim 29 comprising storing security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether authentication of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration.

39. **(Currently Amended)** The A method as claimed in claim 38 wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether authentication of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration, in independence of the identity of the requesting device.

40. **(Currently Amended)** The A method as claimed in claim 29 further comprising authorizing access to an application during arbitration via a user interface, and storing security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration.

41. **(Currently Amended)** The A method as claimed in claim 40 comprising storing trust indications in association with devices, and wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration in dependence upon any stored trust indication associated with the requesting device.

42. **(Currently Amended)** The A method as claimed in claim 29 wherein authentication comprises secret key exchange between the providing device and the requesting device.

43. **(Previously Presented)** A method comprising:
receiving a request to access an application and passing it, without authenticating the requesting device, to an arbitration component interfacing the service; and
determining, in the arbitration component, whether to grant or refuse access to the application, wherein if the determination requires an authentication of the requesting device, the authentication is performed during that determination and not previously, wherein the determination is made on the basis of the identity of the application requested.

44. **(Previously Presented)** The method of claim 43 wherein the determination is made on the basis of the identity of the requesting device.

45. **(New)** The method as claimed in claim 43, comprising storing security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the application is indicative of whether authentication of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration.

46. **(New)** The method as claimed in claim 45 wherein the stored security indication associated with the application is indicative of whether authentication of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration, in independence of the identity of the requesting device.

47. **(New)** The method as claimed in claim 43 further comprising authorizing access to an application during arbitration via a user interface, and storing security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with

the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration.

48. (New) The method as claimed in claim 47 comprising storing trust indications in association with devices, and wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether user authorization of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration in dependence upon any stored trust indication associated with the requesting device.

49. (New) The method as claimed in claim 43 wherein authentication comprises secret key exchange between the providing device and the requesting device.

50. (New) The apparatus as claimed in claim 27, wherein the first access control component and the second access control component are arranged to store security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with the first application is indicative of whether authentication of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration and wherein the stored security indication associated with the second application is indicative of whether authentication of the communicating device is or is not required during arbitration.

51. (New) A computer readable storage medium encoded with instructions that, when executed by a processor, perform:

receiving a request to access an application and passing it, without authenticating the requesting device, to an arbitration component interfacing the service; and

determining, in the arbitration component, whether to grant or refuse access to the application, wherein if the determination requires an authentication of the requesting device, the authentication is performed during that determination and not previously, wherein the determination is made on the basis of the identity of the application requested.

52. (New) The computer readable storage medium as claimed in claim 51, encoded with instructions that, when executed by a processor, perform:

storing security indications in association with accessible applications, wherein the stored security indication associated with an application is indicative of whether authentication of the requesting device is or is not required during arbitration.